

Rules of review

1. All research papers received by the editors of the journal "Journal of Crimean therapeutic," are subject to mandatory review.
2. The chief editor determines that the profile of the journal article, the requirements for registration and send it for review by a specialist doctor or PhD, with close to the topic scientific specialization.
3. All reviewers are acknowledged experts on topics reviewed materials and have for the past three years on the subject of the peer-reviewed publication of article.
4. The terms of the review in each case are determined by the creation of conditions for the most rapid publication of the article.
5. Requirements for the review of an article for publication in the journal "Journal of Crimean therapeutic"

The review highlights the following issues:

- a) that the content of article stated in the title of the topic,
 - b) compliance with the modern achievements of science,
 - c) the availability of readers in terms of language, style and arrangement of the material, visually-stimulating tables, charts, figures and formulas,
 - d) the feasibility of publishing an article based on previously published in the light of publications
- d) what exactly are the positive aspects and disadvantages article which corrections and additions need to be made to the author.

The reviewer recommends or recommends taking into account the correction of these shortcomings, or does not recommend the article for publication in a journal included in the list of peer-reviewed scientific publications WAC.

6. Reviews certified in the manner prescribed in the institution where he works reviewer.
7. The review shall be conducted confidentially. The author reviewed article Lend-ljaetsja opportunity to get acquainted with the text of the review. Breach of confidentiality is only possible in the case of the statements reviewer invalidation or falsification of materials contained in the article.
8. If the review makes recommendations for corrective and finalize articles response-governmental Lyricist Secretary shall review the proposal to consider them at the Pre-preparation of the new version of the article, or arguments (partially or completely) denied them-nut. Modified (recycled) paper the author re-routed to criticism.
9. Article no reviewer recommended for publication, to reconsider is not accepted. Text negative review sent to the author by e-mail, fax or regular mail. The editorial staff also undertakes to send copies of reviews in the Ministry of Education and Science for admission to the editor publication prompted.
10. The positive reviews are not a sufficient basis for the publication of the article. The final decision on whether the publication is received by the editorial board of the journal.
11. The originals are kept in the editorial board reviews or revisions within five years.